

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/652,348 08/29/2003		/29/2003	Franklin J. Wall JR.	LUM-03-06-10	1306		
32566	32566 7590 08/23/2005				EXAMINER		
PATENT L 2635 NORT		-	FARAHAN	FARAHANI, DANA			
SUITE 223	u likol ol	IKEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SAN JOSE,	CA 95134	ļ.	2891	:			
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	:		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	(m)				
		. 10/652,34	18	WALL, FRANKLIN J.	(A)				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dana Fara	ihani	2891					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence address	••				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. 9 ys, a reply within the statury period will apply and will by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (3 ill expire SIX (6) MONTH: lication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communic DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	≿ation. ·				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>7/25/05</i> .							
2a) <u></u>		\boxtimes This action is no	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-22 is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17,23 and 24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from con							
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	•		•					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been cuments have been he priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. In received in App ents have been re e 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachmen	t(s)								
·	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		,	nmary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inform	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/652,348

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 16, 17, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0164503).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 16, 17, 23, and 24, Chen discloses in figure 6B, a semiconductor light emitting device, shown as element 1000, and the layers above it; and a substrate 125 comprising an aluminum ceramic core 120 and at least one metal layer 122 overlying the core (note that the light emitting device would be mounted on the substrate. See fig. 6C). Although, Chen does not expressly disclose the layer is copper with the thickness of at least 4 mil., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the adjustments regarding the thickness and material of the layer, in order to accommodate LED devices with desirable sizes on the substrate, and use copper, since copper while being a good conductor, is relatively cheap. See *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980) for the proposition that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. See also *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ, for the proposition that it is within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,348

Art Unit: 2891

Regarding claim 2, Chen discloses the limitation in the claim, but does not disclose the light-emitting element has a III-nitride light-emitting layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a III-nitride type light emitter layer, in order to emit any color of desired light (i.e. UV-red) or combinations thereof (e.g. white). Figure 1 of the instant application provides further evidence that it is conventional to filp-chip mount a group III-nitride LED.

Regarding claims 4, 5, and 6, at least one lead/wire/pad 124 is connected to the layer.

Regarding claim 8, note that the bottom layer 122 could be the core, in which case the other layer 122 is bonded to the core by an active metal braze 120. Although, Chen does not disclose layer 122 is ceramic, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the layer as an aluminum layer (which is defined by applicant as a ceramic material, see page 2, the last line, and claim 3), since aluminum has excellent conductive and heat radiating properties.

3. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Raj et al., hereinafter Raj (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0175339).

Regarding claim 10, Chen substantially discloses the limitations in the claim, as discussed above, except for a second substrate layer between the copper substrate and the light emitting device.

Raj discloses a transceiver in figure 4; wherein light emitting devices 110 are mounted on a substrate 106. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use another substrate along with the corresponding light emitting devices

Application/Control Number: 10/652,348

Art Unit: 2891

on that substrate on the substrate of the Chen's structure in order to utilize the structure in applications such as fiber optics, as Raj reference teaches.

Regarding claims 11 and 13, Raj discloses bond pads 402, and insulating layers (see paragraph 29).

Regarding claim 12, Chen in view of Raj substantially discloses the limitations in the claim, as discussed above, except for an AlN, Al2O3 or silicon nitride insulating layer. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an AlN insulator in the optical fiber circuitry in the structure since it is known that this material has the same thermal coefficiency as ceramic and therefore is suitable to use it with the light emitting device of Chen.

Regarding claim 14, Raj further discloses a base connected to the substrate (see claim 10).

Regarding claim 15, Raj discloses a lens 112 included with elements 110.

Product-by-Process Limitations

A comparison of the recited process with the prior art process does NOT serve to resolve the issue concerning patentability of the product. *In re Fessman*, 489 F2d 742, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974). Whether a product is patentable depends on whether it is known in the art or it is obvious, and is not governed by whether the process by which is made is patentable. *In re Klug*, 333 F2d 905, 142 USPQ 161 (CCPA 1964). In an ex parte case, product by process claims are not constructed as being limited to the product formed by the specific process recited. In re Hirao et al., 535 F2d 67, 190 USPQ 15, see footnote 3 (CCPA 1976). Therefore, in claims 7 and 17, the

Art Unit: 2891

process of bonding (or in case of claim 7, the method which is used in bonding) the copper layer to the core is given no patentable weight.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17, 23 and 24 have been considered but 4. are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571)272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Farahani